Explanatory study of the possibility of replacement sugar beet instead of sugar cane under limited water resources

 $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{v}$

*Hassan Ahmed Abdel Reheem - **Ferweez, H Water Management Research Institute - National Water Research Center

ABSTRACT

Two field experiments were carried out at Mallaway Research Station, El-Minia Province, Middle Egypt during the growing seasons of 2006 and 2007. The research plan of this study aimed to evaluate and compare the validity of applying the partially or completely using of sugar beet instead of sugar cane crops. The study includes a comparison between the two crops and their water consumption and agricultural practices on the economical side and also providing suggestive sequence about the replacement to study the validity of the replacement from the present cropping pattern and especially the crops which consume much water such as sugar cane under the condition of the current limited water supply. The results indicate in terms of water that the average quantities of water applied and actual consumptive use were 10682.12 and 6491.12 m³/fed for sugar can crop, respectively. While it were 3374.76 and 1990.12 m³/ fed for sugar beet, respectively. The sugar beet has high values of field and crop water use efficiency (10.37 and 17.58 kg root / m³), while sugar cane has lowest values of them (4.35 and 7.16 kg stalk cane /m³), respectively. The results indicate also that, from view point water and economic, the sugar beet recorded the highest values of net return of each water applied and consumptive use units (0.31 and 0.52 L.E /m³), respectively, while sugarcane has the lowest values of them (0.20 and 0.33 L.E /m³), respectively. This due to increasing the quantity of water applied and water consumptive use for sugar cane which led to decrease this efficiency.

Results indicate that, from view point of social, the water awareness of farmer is less which leads to waste much water where over irrigation practices by the farmers usually leads to low irrigation efficiency water logging and high losses of water and fertilizer. The Results indicate that 6.6% of sugar cane farmers agree on the completely replacement of sugar beet instead of sugar cane and 71.1 % of farmers accepted the partial replacement by decreasing the sugar cane areas and cultivating using the sugar beet, this due to the insistence of cane farmers on planting the cane because they used to cultivate it for along time. Also the results indicated that there are a few farmers (7.9%) know the idea of planting the cane by transplanting method and the majority of farmers prefer planting the cane in furrow and small portion (13.2%) know the idea of Stiva plant and 11.8 % agree on planting the sugar in new lands. So we concluded that the sugar cane farmers prefer planting the sugar cane crop in furrow, this due to decrease water awareness about the water importance by limiting the water, and decreased agriculture and water culture about applying the modern methods as the method of transplanting to limit the water, this due to, there isn't agricultural direction to increase the culture of farmers.

At the end of study it could be concluded that it is necessary to expand, the sugar beet in the old lands by partially replacement and in the new lands. On other hand, irrigation water saving can be used for increasing cereal crop areas to meet the shortages of food production.

^{*} Water Management Research Institute - National Water Research Center

^{**} Sugar crops Research Institute - Agriculture Research Center

Introduction

Agricultural sector plays an important role in the economic development in Egypt and it is considered the basis of the national economy and the main source of income for more than half the population in Egypt. In addition, agriculture is responsible for satisfying the consumers need for cloth and food. It also provides the industry sector with raw materials needed for various industries. Continuation of these roles demand achieving the economic development which are derived from two main sources, the agricultural horizontal and vertical expansion resources. In the present and the future, water resources are considered the scarcest element among other economic production resources. Consequently, it is not only one of the main determinations but also the strategic one which determines the horizontal expansion through adding new lands. The optimal use of water is the corner stone of the agricultural development sector because the present water resources available in Egypt are not enough horizontal future agricultural the expansion in the light of the present types of water use. Eid et al (1966), EWUP, (1984), Abu –Zeid (1992_b) and Abu-Zeid (1990_a).

The study problem:

amendment Making in cropping pattern is one of proposed solutions for solving the water problem and its lack in future. This amendment includes decreasing the areas of the crops that need a lot of water like sugar cane and rice which consume mush water a bout 25-30% of irrigation water (Abu-zied 1992 a). On the other hand, making amendment in cropping pattern considers complicated and big issue links to political, economical, institutions and environmental sides. So solving this case should be treated gradually and public opinion and different institutions should play an active role in this issue. So, it is necessary to do essential changes in present cropping pattern to save land and water resources and increase farmer income.

From the theoretical view, there is not any difficulty in knowing the cropping patter which is the most suitable for our conditions (the land, the water, the climate and the society needs) but the difficulty appears when

we practically try to applicator in field. So, through this summarized study we can put the main points of the cropping pattern especially about the crops that consume much water (as sugar cane crop) to make a decision about the changes in current cropping pattern.

Research goals:

- 1- Evaluating and comparing between sugar cane and sugar beet from view points water, and economic.
- 2- Suggesting different seniors of replants replacing sugar beet.
- 3- Reaching scientific recommendations for the possibility of amending corps combination for corps that consume a lot of water (as sugar cane crop)

Materials and Methods

The research plan of this studying aims to evaluate the validity of applying the partial or completely using of sugar beet crop instead of sugar cane crop. The study includes a comparison between the two crops through all the water, agricultural, economical, and social sides. It also provides suggestive sequence about the replacement. To study the a validity of the replacement from the present cropping pattern and especially the crops, which consume much water(sugar cane crop) in the condition of the current limited water"

Research province:

Malawy province in Minia governorate was chosen as one of the basic centers for sugar cane and sugar beet crops production.

Study of crop choice:

Sugar cane and sugar beet were chosen because of their importance for farmers and the effect of the sugar cane on the water requirement.

Questionnaire form:

Questioner were made by interviewing some of the sample members, and forms were designed according to the research objectives. Random sample consists of 140 farmers from sugar cane and beet farmers, was extracted that cane farmers were 76, (54.3%) from the total sample and the beet farmers were 64 (45.7%) from the total sample and interviews were done for each farmer to study the

subjective goals of the questioners to know the most important results.

Statistical analysis:

Data were calculated and tabulated and prepared computerizing by using the statistical bundle program for the social science (SPSS) to analyze using (square χ) to know the nature and sort of the relation between all the dependent and independent variables of the study.

Recorded data from soil water relationships : Water Measurements

In the two growing seasons (2006&2007) water was measured by using a rectangular sharp crested weir. The discharge was calculated using the following formula: Q=CLH³ (*Masoud*, 1967)

Where:

Q: The discharge in cubic meters per second.

L: The length of the crest in meters

H: The head in meters

C : An empirical coefficient that must be determined from discharge measurements Water applied was added at each irrigation and at the end of each growing season. The

and at the end of each growing season. The total quantity of water applied was estimated (m³/fed).

Water consumptive use (CU)

The quantities of water consumptive use were calculated till 90 cm soil depth for sugar cane and 60 cm for sugar beet crops which were assumed to be the depth of the roots zone as reported by many investigators. Monthly and seasonal water consumptive use were calculated by the summation of water consumed for the different successive irrigation rotation through growing season (Serry et al. 1980).

Water consumptive use per feddan (4200 m²) can be obtained by the following equation which described by **Israelsen and Hansen**, 1962

$$CU = \frac{\theta_2 - \theta_1}{100} \chi b.d\chi \frac{Depth}{100} \chi Area(4200m^2)$$

Where .

CU = Amount of water consumptive use (m³/fed⁻⁾ θ_2 = Soil moisture content % after irrigation (by weigh).

 θ_1 = Soil moisture content % before the next irrigation (by weigh).

 $b.d = Bulk density (g/cm^3).$

Calculation of CU was repeated for each irrigation until the harvesting date.

Crop Coefficient (Kc)

Crop coefficient defined as the ratio between actual crop evapotranspiration(ET_a) and potential evapotranspiration (ET_p) when both are in a large fields, under optimum growing conditions (FAO, 1977). In the experiment the following equation was applied to compute the Kc values.

 $Kc = ET_a / ET_p$

Where:

Kc= Crop coefficient

ET_a= Actual evapotranspirtation (mm/ day).

ET_p= potential evapotranspiration calculated by modified Penman (mm/day).

Modified Pemman equation

$$\begin{split} ET_p = & c \quad [\quad (W.Rn \quad + \quad 1\text{-}w).f \quad (u).(ea\text{-}ed)] \\ mm/day. \end{split}$$

Where:

 $ET_{p=}$ Reference crop evapotranspiration (mm/day).

W=Temperature -related weighting factor.

Rn=Net radiation in equivalent evaporation in mm/day.

f (u) =Wind-related function.

ea=Saturation vapour pressure of the air in (mm bar).

ea= Mean actual vapour pressure of the air in (m bar)

=ea x RH mean /100, in which, RH = relative humidity.

(ea-ed) =Difference between the saturation vapour pessue at mean air remperature and the mean actual vapour pressure of the air, both in mbar.

c=Adjustment factor to compensate for the effect of day and night weather conditions.

Crop water use efficiency (C.W.U.E)

The crop water use efficiency is the weight of marketable crop produced per the unit volume of water consumed by plants or the evapotranspiration quantity.

The crop water use efficiency was computed for each crop by dividing the yield (kg) over units of evapotranspiration expressed as cubic meters of water (Abd El-

Rasool et al.1971) It is calculated by the following formula:

$$C.W.U.E. = \frac{Yield(kglfed.)}{water \ consumptiv \ use(m^3lfed.)} = kglm^3$$

Field water use efficiency (F.W.U.E.)

The field water use efficiency is the weight of marketable crop produced per the unit volume of irrigation applied expressed as cubic meters of water (Michcal, 1978).

It as calculated by the following equation:

$$F.W.U.E. = \frac{Yield(kglfed.)}{water \quad applied(m^3lfed.)} = kglm^3$$
Economic officiency

Economic efficiency:

The economic efficiency refers to the combinations of inputs that maximize individual or social objective. It is defined in terms of two conditions: necessary and sufficient. The necessary condition is met in production process when producing the same amount of product with fewer inputs or producing more products with the same amount of inputs but the sufficient condition for efficiency encompasses individual or social goals and values (John and Frank *1987*).

It is calculated by the formula:

Economic efficiency =
$$\frac{Netprofit(L.Elfed.)}{Total \cos ts(L.Elfed.)}$$

Results and Discussion

The first: from view point of water: Comparison between sugar cane crop and sugar beet crop;

Data in Table (1) reveal that the comparison between sugar cane and sugar beet from view point of water. The average quantity of water requirements and actual water consumptive use (m³/fed) from planting until harvest were 10682.12 and 6497.12 m³/fed for sugar cane in 2006 and 2007 seasons respectively, while it were 3374.76 and 1990.42 m³ /fed for sugar beet respectively. These results indicate the sugar beet crop have highest values of crop and field water use efficiencies (17.37 and 10.37 kg of root /m³ in 2006 and 2007 seasons, respectively) compared to sugar cane which has lowest values of them that were (7.6 and $4.35 \text{ kg stalk cane /m}^3$) in 2006 and 2007 seasons, respectively. Results indicate also that the sugar beet have high values of water

use efficiencies (L.E /m³ applied and consumed) that were 0.31 and 0.52 L.E/ m³ respectively while, sugar cane crop have lowest values for them that were 0.20 and 0.33 L.E/m³ respectively, this due to increase the quantity of water requirements and water consumptive use for sugar cane which led to decrease this efficiencies. These results are in agreement with those reported by Cucci and Caro (1986), Abd El-wahab et al (1996), Khan (1992),Emara et al(2000 a) and ESST, (2006).

Water saving (m3/ area)

Water saving per cubic meter / area represents the different between the quantity of water applied for sugar cane crop and suggested rotations of replacement. Data in Tables (2 & 3) show that the third suggested rotation (sugar beet + potato crop) of transformation gave high values of saving irrigation water about (41.16 %) compare with water requirements to sugar cane crop. This quantity of saving water as result of partially and completely replacement were $(1.385 \text{ and } 0.946 \text{ milliard m}^3/\text{ area}) \text{ enough}$ to cultivate area (generally) in old lands about 216390.23 and 147694.92 fed. respectively or cultivate different areas of horticulture and field crops

This results reflex how much irrigation water can be saved when using the best suggested rotation of replacement.

Table (1): Comparison between sugar cane and sugar beet crops through all the water, agricultural and economical Points in the two studied seasons.

Comparison phase	Sugar cane crop	Sugar beet crop
Scientific name	Saccharunm Afficinarum L.	(Beta Vlgaris L.)
Crop remain duration, day	330	186
*Cultivate area in Egypt, fed.	315000	167327
*The Contribution rate in the	65%	35%
international sugar production		
*The Contribution rate in the locally	90%	10%
sugar production		
Sugars percentage (%)	14%	11%
**Water applied (m ³ /fed.)	10682.5	3374.76
**Water consumptive use (m ³ /fed.)	6491.12	1990.42
Maximum period of water (Critical period)	May, June, July and August	January and February
Irrigation efficiency(surface irrigation)	48.9	49.2%
Crop coefficient	0.95	0.58
Total costs (LE/fed.)	6680	4553
Total income L.E/fed.)	8835	5600
Net return (L.E/fed.)	2155	1047
Average costs of ton (L.E/ton)	143.66	130.09
Production (ton/ fed.)	46.5	35
Field water use efficiency (kg/ m ³)	4.35	10.37 mg/m^3
Crop water use efficiency (kg/m ³)	7.16	17.58kg/m ³
Efficiency of applied water utilization (L.E/m³)	0.20	0.31
Efficiency of consumption water utilization (L.E /m³)	0.33	0.52
Field water use efficiency express as (kg sugar /m ³)	0.51 Kg sugar raw/m ³	1.21 kg sugar raw /m ³
Crop water use efficiency express as (kg sugar /m ³)	0.84 Kg sugar raw /m ³	2.06 kg sugar /m ³
produce one ton sugar needs	1193.22 m ³ water consumed	485.47 m ³ water consumed
produce one ton sugar needs	1963.69 m ³ water applied	823.11 m ³ water applied
Economic efficiency	0.32 L.E	0.23 L.E
Average of yield (ton/fed.)	46.5	35
Average of sugar (ton/fed.)	5.44	4.1
Price of unit (L.E/ton of yield)	190	160

*source : CCSC (2007) : central council for Sugar Crops. Annual Report, Ministry of Agriculture, Egypt.

^{**}Source : Actual field measurements.

Table (2): Quantity of total water saving (milliard m³ / area) and the areas (fed.) which can be cultivated in old lands as a result of water saving (completely replacement).

Suggested	*	Water s	aving	**	Total of	The area		The areas	(fed.) whi	ch can be	cultivated	in old land	s of some	crops (spec	cially) as re	esult of sav	ving water	
rotations for	Water			Average area	water saving	(fed.) which can be	Ton	nato		ea		eat		orn		tato		bean
replacement	applied m ³ /fed.	m ³	%	cultivated sugar can crop in Egypt	m ³ / area) o (ge	cultivated in old lands (generally) as a result of saving water	Water requirement (m3/fed.)	The area which can be cultivate d	Water requirement m3/fed.	The area which can be cultivate d	Water requirement m3/fed.	The area which can be cultivated	Water requirement m3/fed.	The area which can be cultivate d	Water requirement (m3/fed.)	The area which can be cultivated	Water requirement (m3/fed.)	The area which can be cultivate d
Sugar can crop	10680.25			315000														
The first suggest rotation (sugar beet +corn)	7031.93	3648.32	34.15		1.149220800	179565.75	3264.57	352028.23	3213.10	357667.29	2657.30	432476.87	3657.23	314235.27	2909.05	95050.20	3315.78	345913.90
Sugar can crop	1068025			315000														
The second suggested rotation (sugar beet + Soybean)	6690.48	3989.77	37.36		1.256777500	196371.4	3264.57	384974.89	3213.10	391141.73	2657.30	472954.42	3657.23	343641.90	2909.05	1132023.34	3315.78	379029.21
Sugar can crop	10680.25			315000														
The third suggested rotation (suger beet + potato)	6283.75	4396.5	41.16		1.384897500	216390.23	3264.57	424220.49	3213.10	431015.99	2657.30	521167.16	3657.23	378673.88	2909.05	476065.20	3315.78	417668.69
Sugar can crop	10680.25			315000														
The fourth suggested rotation (sugar beet + tomta)	6639.27	4040.9.8	37.8		1.272908700	198891.98	3264.57	389916.19	3213.10	396162.17	2657.30	479025.28	3657.23	348052.67	2909.05	437568.51	3315.78	383894.19

^{*}Source : Actual field measurements.

^{**} source : CCSC (2007) : central council for Sugar Crops. Annual Report, Ministry of Agriculture, Egypt.





(2) The level of farmers acceptance of sugar beet crop instead of sugar cane both partially of totally.

Data in Tables (7 and 8) illustrate sugar cane and sugar beet farmers acceptance of planting sugar beet crop instead of sugar cane (both partially or totally), the results of Table (7) indicate that 6.6% of sugar cane farmers agree on the total transformation of sugar beet instead of sugar cane, 71.1 of farmers accepted the partial transformation by decreasing the sugar cane areas and using the sugar beet, so the results indicated to the insistence of sugar cane farmers on planting the sugar cane crop because they used to cultivate it for along time and refusing the idea of totally transforming the sugar beet instead of the sugar cane, and there are a great acceptance between the sugar cane

Table (7): The level of farmers acceptance of sugar beet crop instead of sugar cane both partially or completely.

No	The questions		Yes	No		Few		χ^2
		Frequency	%	Frequency	%	Frequency	%	
1	Will you agree if you are asked to cancel the cane area (completely replacement) and plant sugar beet crop instead?	5	6.6	71	93.4	-	-	57.32**
2	Do you have an idea about sugar beet crop planting ?	28	36.8	31	40.8	17	22.4	6.28*
3	If the government orders to area decrease (partial replacement) to sugar cane crop, will you agree?	54	71.1	22	28.9	-	-	13.47**

LSD.

far

the

coı

tha

coı

the

be

far

coı

pla

by

ha

aco

cai

wi

3) The level of agriculture awareness of farmers about planting the sugar cane crop by the modern methods and the level of their knowledge about substitute crops for sugar.

Data in Table (9) indicate the level of agriculture culture about planting sugar cane with the modern way to save water such as planting the cane by transplanting method and planting in terraces instead of furrow and their knowledge about substitute crops as Sativa plant

The result indicated that there are a few farmers 7.9% know the idea of planting the cane by transplanting and the majority prefer planting the cane in furrow and a small portion 13.2% know the idea of *Sativa* plant and 11.8 agree on planting the sugar in new lands, so we

concluded that sugar cane farmers prefer planting the sugar cane crop in furrow instead of beds because the decreased water awareness about the water importance by limiting the water, and the decreased agriculture and water culture about applying the modern ways as the way of transplanting cane to limit the water, because there isn't agricultural direction to increase the culture of farmers so, the study recommended to select some crops with decreased water usage and increased production and focusing on using modern ways of agriculture and increasing the water awareness and changing the traditional way of planting by the transplanting way and using terraces instead of furrow.

Table (9): The level of agriculture awareness of farmers about planting the sugar cane crop by the modern methods and the level of their knowledge about the crops substitutes for sugar.

No	The question	Yes			χ^2	
		Frequency	%	Frequency	%	
1	Do you have an idea about sugar cane crop planting by transplanting?	6	7.9	70	92.1	53.89**
2	When you plan cane, do you prefer planting it in furrow?	56	78.7	20	26.3	033**
3	If you have an idea about sugar cane planting by transplanting do you agree on applying it?	6	7.9	70	92.1	53.89**
4	Do you have information about	10	3.2	66	86.8	-41.26**

الملخد ل بنجر حيم-

planting Stiva crop instead of the cane

ا التابعة لمعهد بحوث ادارة المياه – والمركز القومى لبحوث المياه خلال موسمى ٢٠٠٦ أو الجزئي لمحصول بنجر السكر بدلا من محصول قصب السكر واشتملت الدراسة

ثالثاً: من الناحية الاجتماعية:

- اوضحت النتائج من الناحية الاجتماعية بأن هناك انخفاض في الوعى المائي لدى المزارعين في التعامل مع وحدة المياه الامر الذي يؤدى الى اهدار المياه بدرجة اكبر وبالتالي انخفاض كفاءة الري وزيادة نسب الفواقد المائية والسمادية.
- 1- أوضحت النتائج ايضا بأن هناك نسبه قليله من المزراعين ٦.٦% يوافقون على احلال بنجر السكر محل القصب احلالا كليا الا ان هناك نسبه كبيرة ١.١٧% من المزراعين يوافقون على الاحلال الجزئى عن طريق تخفيض مساحات قصب السكر واحلال بدلاً منها بنجر السكر ويرجع ذلك الى تمسك مزراعى قصب السكر في زراعة محصول قصب السكر نظرا لتعودهم على زراعة المحصول لمدة طويله وعدم تقبلهم لفكرة احلال محصول بنجر السكر محل محصول قصب السكر احلالا كليا.

أوضحت النتائج ايضاً بأن هناك نسبه قليله من المزارعين ٧.٩ % من الزراع لديهم فكرة عن زراعة محصول قصب السكر بالشتل وان الاغلبية العظمى من المزارعين يفضلون زراعة القصب فى خطوط وأن هناك نسبة قليلة ١٣.٢% من الزراع لديهم فكرة عن نبات الاستيفا البديل وكذلك ٨.١ % من المزارعين يوافقون على زراعة قصب السكر فى الاراضى الجديدة ومن ذلك نستطيع ان نستخلص ان مزراعى قصب السكر يفضلوا بنسبه عالية زراعة محصول قصب السكر فى خطوط بدلا من مصاطب ويرجع ذلك لانخفاض الوعى الثقافى والزراعى لدى مزارعى قصب السكر فى زراعة محصول قصب السكر بالطرق الحديثة لتوفير المياه ومنها طريقه الشتل وكذلك الزراعه فى مصاطب بدلا من خطوط وكذلك مدى المعلومات لديهم عن النباتات البديله لانتاج السكر مثل نبات Stiva ويرجع ذلك لعدم الارشاد الزراعى والمائى لزياده الثقافه المائية والزراعية لدى المزارعين.

التوصيات والحلول المقترحة

والخلاصة أن هناك حاجة ملحة لاجراء تعديلات جوهرية في التركيب المحصولي الحالى للمحافظة على الموارد الأرضية والمائية وتعظيم العائد منها فمن الناحية النظرية لا توجد صعوبة تذكر في معرفة التركيب المحصولي الأمثل لظروفنا (الأرض والماء والمناخ واحتياجات المجتمع)

ولكن الصعوبة نأتى فى كيفية تنفيذ ذلك على أرض الواقع ومن خلال هذه الدراسة المختصرة والتى عرضنا نتائجها يمكن أن نضع الخطوط العريضة للتركيب المحصولي خاصة من ناحية المحاصيل المستهلكة للماء بدرجة أكبر وذات احتياجات مائية عالية ومنها محصول قصب السكر لكى نصل الى قرار حاسم يتضمن تعديلات جذرية فى التركيب المحصولي الحالى ومن خلال ما سبق لنا عدة اقتراحات فى ضوء نتائج هذه الدراسة ومنها على سبيل المثال ·

يمكن للسياسة الزراعية أن تحدد المساحات التي يجب أن تزرع بمحاصيل معينة ومنها محصول قصب السكر ولكن قد يبدو هذا الاقتراح مستبعد حاليا وغير وارد في الوقت التي تتجه فيه السياسة الزراعية الى الحرية الكاملة للمزارعين بما يسمى " بتحرير الزراعة " وترك الحرية كاملة للمزارعين في أن يزرعوا ما يرغبون الا أنه عندما يتعلق الأمر بحسن استخدام الموارد المحدودة فإن تدخل السياسة الزراعية بطريقة أو بأخرى يصبح مسألة حتمية لأن سوء استخدام المياه وإدارتها لا تقل أهمية عن تجريف الأرض الزراعية.

فى ظل محدودة المياه والحفاظ عليها من سوء إدارتها وإهدارها وفى ظل عدم تطبيق أساليب الرى الحديثة حاليا على وجه العموم يمكن للساسة المائية بوضع تسعيرة لمياه الرى بنظام الشرائح التصاعدية وبذلك يمكن أن تدفع المزارعين الى الابتعاد أو التردد فى زراعة المحاصيل المستهلكة للمياه أو قليلة الكفاءة فى استعمال المياه مثل القصب والأرز وحيث أن هذه المحاصيل موجه لإشباع الطبقات العليا (الأكثر غنى فى المجتمع) لذا يمكن أن ترفع أسعار منتجاتها لتغطية ارتفاع التكاليف لها الناتجة عن توضع تسعيرة للمياه المستهلكة لها.

- ١- يمكن للسياسة المائية أن توجه التركيب المحصولي بطريقة أفضل عن طريق تشجيع زراعة المحاصيل الأكثر كفاءة في استعمال الموارد وخاصة الماء والموجهة الى اشباع المغالبية العظمة من السكان عن طريق إعفائها من سعر مياه الري أو دعمها لبعض مستلزمات الانتاج الأخرى.
- ٢- يمكن للسياسة الزراعية الاتجاه الى الابقاء على زراعة هذه المحاصيل المستهلكة للمياه بدرجة أكبر ومنها محصول قصب السكر نظراً لخبرة وتمسك المزارعين بزراعته فى مناطق انتاجه ولكن عن طريق تغيير نمط طريقة زراعته التقليدية واسلوب الرى ذلك عن طريق استخدام طريقة الشتل باستخدام السكر الأمر الذى يؤدى الى تقليل زمن مرور المياه بين الخطوط مما يؤدى ذلك الى تعظيم الاستفادة من الوحدة المائية المضافة.
- "- العمل على تحميل محصول بنجر السكر على محصول قصب السكر بهدف التوسع في انتاج بنجر السكر بجانب القصب في الاراضى القديمة
 بجانب التوسع للبنجر السكر في الاراضى الجديدة.
- أو العمل على إحلال بنجر السكر محل محصول قصب السكر احلالا جزئياً وفهذه الحالة يتم الحصول على وفر مائى كما اوضحت النتائج السابقة المتحصل عليها وتوجيه هذا الوفر الى انتاج محاصيل الخرى خصوصا محاصيل الحبوب.
 - a- توصى الدراسة بالعمل على زيادة الثقافة المائية والثقافة الزراعية للمزارعين لترشيد استخدام المياه ورفع الكفاءة الكلية للرى الحقلي.
- توصى الدراسة أيضاً بالعمل على زيادة الثقافة المائية لكافة جميع المواطنين فى ظل محدودية المياه للحد من استهلاك السكر الخام لخفض معدل
 الاستهلاك السنوى للفرد حتى يتناسب ما نخطط له مع امكانيتنا الموجودة حالياً من مواردنا المائية
- ٧- توجية المزارع إلى جدوى اختيار التركيب المحصول المناسب والاكثر كفاءة مائية واقتصادية في استخدام المياه باعتبار ان عنصر المياه اكثر ندره من عناصر الانتاج الاخرى.

التوصية

في ضوء هذه الدراسة والنتائج المتحصل عليها نستطيع ان نستخلص بأنه يجب التوسع في زراعة محصول بنجر السكر سواء في الاراضي القديمة عن طريق الاحلال الجزئي بتخفيض المساحة المنزرعة من محصول قصب السكر أو الاحلال الكلى في ظل محدودية المياه الحاليه وندرتها في المستقبل وكذلك زراعتة في الاراضى الجديدة بصورة أفضل وتوجيه الوفر المائي المتحصل عليه نتيجة الاحلال الكلى أو الجزئي الى انتاج محاصيل أخرى وخصوصا المحاصيل التي بها عدم اكتفاء ذاتي مثل محاصيل الحبوب أو العمل على ابقاء انتاج هذه المحاصيل المستهلكة للمياه مثل محصول قصب السكر مع تغيير نمط الزراعة المعتادة في انتاجة وذلك عن طريق زراعته في مصاطب بدلا من خطوط وكذلك زراعته بطريقة الشتل بدلا من الزاعة التقليدية العادية بهدف الحصول على انتاجية عالية من المحصول بأقل كميات مياه مضافه ممكنة وقد يكون هذا هو الحل المعتدل بين رغبة المزار عين في زراعة محصول قصب السكر ورغبتنا نحن ااباحثين وصناع القرار في المحافظة على مواردنا المائية

References

- Abdel -Rasol, S.F.; H.W.Towadro; W.I. Miseha and F.N. Mahrous (1971). Effect of irrigation and fertilization on water use efficiency by wheat. Fertilizer Conf. A in Shams Univ. Cairo.
- AbdEl-Wahab, S.A.; A.A. Amer; M.:.El- Shahaway and M.M.Sobh (1996): Effect of different irrigation amounts and potassium fertilizes rates on yield and quality of sugar beet and water efficiencies J. Agric. Sci, Monsoura univ., 21 (2) 4687-4699.
- **Abu-Zeid, M(1990a)** Conservation & Management of water Resources for Sustainable Development.Desert development Digest. vol 3 (1) pp-1-3
- **Abu-zeid, M.** (1992 a) Egypt's Efforts to improve water use efficiences. First National conference on the future of land reclamation and development in Egypt. Minia University, 2-5 November.
- **Abu-Zeid,M.(1992b)** Major Issues in Egypt's Water Resources and Irrigation Policy: To the Next Century. Key Note Roundtable on Egyptian Water Policy, Process dings of a Seminar on Egyptian Water Policy. Sponsored by the Water Research Center, the Ford Foundation, and Winrock International, Alexandria, Egypt, pp.2-10.
- CCSC(2007): Central Council for Sugar Crops. Annual Report, Mininstry of Agriculture, Egypt.
- *Cucci, G.and A. de.Caro (1986)*: Impact of irrigation on productivity of autumu- sown sugar beet in southern Italy. Institute de Agron. Coltivazlani erbace dell; Univ. di Bari, Bari, Italy Irrigation –e –33 (4) 29-32 (c.f. irrigation and Drainage Abst., 13: 1332, 1987)
- **DDC(1987)** On –Farm Demonstration and Socio-Economic Studies in the South Tahrir Community. Phase Two. First Progress Resport, Submitted to the Ford Foundation. Cairo: the American University. (Mimeiograph)
- *Eid, M.T; M.Abd-El-Samie and A.A.El-Gibali; (1966).* Preliminary estimation for water balance between irrigation water requirements and Nile water discharge in U.A.R.Agric.Res.Rev., 44 (1) 128-139, Issued by Min.Agric., Egypt (in Arabic)
- *Emara, T.k.*; *I.H.EL-Geddawy and N.O.A. youssif (2000a)*.yield and quality of sugar beet as affected by limiting of irrigation in North Nile Delta. Alex. Sci, Ex ch.21 (2): 119-128
- ESST, (2006): Thirty-two Annual Conference, Egyptian Society of Sugar Technologists, Giza, Egypt.
- **EWUP**, (1984) improving Egypt's irrigation system in the old lands. Findigs of Egypt water use and management protect, final Report water Research center cairo.
- **F.A.O.** (1977). Crop water requirements. Irrigation and drainage paper 24. Food and Agriculture Organization for the united Nations, Rome revised, 1977.
- *Israelsen,O.W.and V.E.Hansen (1962)*.Irrigation principles and practices. 3rd Edit. John willey & Sons. Inc., New Yok.
- *John P.D. and O. Frank (1987)* Production economics-Theory with applications, Second edition –Library of congress cataloging in publication data.M.SA-New York
- *Khan, M.J. (1992)*. Probabilistic analysis of net monthly and seasonal irrigation requirements of sugar cane crop in Peshawar valley. Sarhad j. Agric., 8 (5): 385 –398.
- *Masoud, F.I. (1967).* Water, Soil and plant relatioship. New publication House, Alexandria. (In Arabic).
- *Micheal, A.M (1978).* Irrigation theory and practice. Vikas publishing House, New Delhi, 1978.
- **Penman, H.L., (1961).** Weather, plant and soil factors in hydrology Weather, 16,207.
- *Skold, Melvin, D;* Sh. A.El-shinnawi and M.L. Nasr (1994) irrigation water Distribution Along Branch canals I Egypt: Economic Effects. Economic Development and cultural change.
- Serry, A.; H. W. Tawdros; S.E;-Serougy; A. Y.Badwi; M.A. Metwally; F.N. Mahrous and W.I. Miseha (1980). Consumptive use of water major field crop in Egypt. Agricultural Research Review No.5, Cairo, Egypt.