THERMODYNAMIC STUDIES OF 5-(P-BROMOPHENYLAZO)-3-PHENYLRHODANINE AND ITS METAL COMPLEXES

دراسات الديناميكا الحرارية للمركب ٥-(بارا-بروموفينيل آزو) – ٣ – فينيل رودانين

ومتراكباته مع العناصر

M. A. El-Komy

Physical & Math. Science Department, Faculty of Engineering (Shoubra), Benha Branch, Zagazig University,
Cairo, Egypt.

خلاصة

تم حساب ثابت التكسير لمركب ٥-(بارا-بروموفينيل آزو) – ٣ – فينيل رودانين وكذلك حساب درجة الثبات لمتراكباته مـــع بعض العناصر الانتقالية الثنائية التكافؤ ($Cu^{2+}, Mn^{2+}, Co^{2+}, Ni^{2+}$) باستخدام طريقة معايرة الجهد لي وسط يحتوي على ١, ٠ مولر كلوريد بوتاسيوم وكذلك محلول الإيثانول في الماء بنسبة ٤٠ % ، وقد وجد أن درجة ثبات المتراكبات تكـــون فى $Mn^{2+} < Co^{2+} < Ni^{2+} < Cu^{2+}$.

وكذلك تم دراسة تأثير درجة الحرارة وبالتالي حساب ثوابت الديناميكا الحرارية ، وقد وجد أن عملية تكسير المركب تكون غـــير تلقائية وماصة للحرارة والإنتروبيا غير مرغوبة ، وقد كانت عملية تكوين المتراكبات تلقائية وطاردة للحرارة والإنتروبيا مرغوبة .

ABSTRACT

The dissociation constant of 5-(p-bromophenylazo)-3-phenylrhodanine (HL) and metal-ligand stability constants of its complexes with bivalent (Mn^{2+}, Co^{2+}, Ni^{2+} and Cu^{2+}) metal ions have been determined potentiometrically in 0.1 M KCl and 40% (v/v) ethanol-water mixture. The order of the stability constants of the formed complexes was found to be $Mn^{2+} < Co^{2+} < Ni^{2+} < Cu^{2+}$. The effect of temperature was studied and the corresponding thermodynamic parameters (ΔG, ΔH and ΔS) were derived and discussed. The dissociation process is nonspontaneous, endothermic and entropically unfavorable. The formation of the metal complexes has been found to be spontaneous, exothermic and entropically favorable.

INTRODUCTION

Azo compounds based on rhodanine play a central role as chelating agents for a large number of metal ions. They form a stable six-membered ring after complexation with the metal ions and can also be used as analytical reagents[1]. Rhodanine derivatives have been used in treatment of cancer turberculosis and malaria [2].

The synthesis of 5-(p-bromophenylazo)-3-phenylrhodanine (HL) has been reported elsewhere [3,4]. The dissociation and the stability constants of Mn^{2+}, Co^{2+}, Ni^{2+} and Cu^{2+} complexes with HL are determined potentiometrically, herein, at different temperatures. Furthermore, the corresponding thermodynamic functions of complexation are evaluated and discussed.

EXPERIMENTAL

Preparation of HL

The 5-(p-bromophenylazo)-3-phenylrhodanine (HL) was prepared [5] by dissolving p-bromoaniline (0.1 mole) in conc. hydrochloric acid. The hydrochloric compound was diazotized below $-5°C$ with a solution of sodium nitrite (0.1 mole, 30 ml distilled H_2O). The diazonium chloride was coupled with an alkaline solution of 3-phenylrhodanine (0.1 mole) in 20 ml of pyridine. The crude dye was collected by filtration and crystallized from ethanol, then dried in a vacuum desiccator over anhydrous $CaCl_2$.


Fig. 1. Structure of the ligand (HL)

Reagents and materials

Metal ion solutions (0.001 M) were prepared from Analar metal chlorides in bidistilled water and standardized with EDTA [6]. The ligand solutions (0.01 M) were prepared by dissolving the accurate weight of the solid in ethanol (Analar). Solutions of 0.005 M HCl and 1 M KCl were also prepared in bidistilled water. A carbonate-free sodium hydroxide solution in 40% (v/v) ethanol-water mixture was used as titrant and standardized against oxalic acid (Analar).

Potentiometric measurements

The apparatus, general conditions and methods of calculation were the same as in the previous work [3]. The following mixtures were prepared and titrated potentiometrically at 298 K against standard 0.02 M NaOH in 40% (v/v) ethanol-water mixture:

(i) 5 ml 0.005 M HCl + 5 ml 1 M KCl + 20 ml ethanol;

(ii) 5 ml 0.005 M HCl + 5 ml 1 M KCl + 15 ml ethanol + 5 ml 0.01 M ligand;

(iii) 5 ml 0.005 M HCl + 5 ml 1 M KCl + 15 ml ethanol + 5 ml 0.01 M ligand + 5 ml 0.001 M metal chloride.

For each mixture, the volume was made up to 50 ml with bidistilled water before the titration. These titrations were repeated for temperatures of 303, 308 ,313 and 318 K. A constant temperature was maintained by using an ultrathermostat (Neslab 2 RTE 220). The pH-meter readings in 40% (v/v) ethanol-water mixture are corrected according to the Van Uitert and Hass relation [7].

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Proton-ligand dissociation constants

The number of protons associated with the compound at different pH values, $\bar{n}_A$, were calculated from the titration curves of the acid in the absence

and presence of a ligand. Thus, the formation curves ($\bar{n}_A$ vs. pH) for the proton-ligand systems were constructed and found to extend between 0 and 1 in the $\bar{n}_A$ scale. This means that ligands have one ionizable proton (the hydrogen ion of the hydroxyl group in the rhodanine moiety). It can be seen that for the same volume of NaOH added the ligand titration curves had a lower pH value than the acid titration curve. The displacement of a ligand titration curve along the volume axis with respect to the acid titration curve is an indication of proton dissociation. The proton-ligand stability constants (Table 1) were calculated using the method of Irving and Rossotti [8].

Metal-ligand stability constants

The formation curves for the metal complexes were obtained by plotting the average number of ligands attached per metal ions ($\bar{n}$) versus the free ligand exponent (pL), according to Irving and Rossotti [9]. These curves were analyzed and the successive stability constants were determined using different computational methods [10, 11] which agree within 1% error. Accordingly the average values are represented in Table 2.

The following general remarks can be pointed out:

(i) The maximum value of $\bar{n}$ was ≈ 2 indicating the formation of 1:1 and 1:2 {metal:ligand} complexes only.

(ii) The metal ion solution used in the present study was very dilute (10^{-4} M), hence there was no possibility of formation of polynuclear complexes [12].

(iii) The metal titration curves were displaced to the right-hand side of the ligand titration curves along the volume axis, indicating proton release upon complex formation of the metal ion with the ligand. The large decrease in pH for the metal titration curves relative to ligand titration curves point to the formation of strong metal complexes [13].

(iv) In most cases, the colour of the solution after complex formation was observed to be different from the colour of the ligand at the same pH.

(v) For the same ligand at constant temperature. the stability of the chelates increases in the order $Cu^{2+} > Ni^{2+} > Co^{2+} > Mn^{2+}$ [14].

Effect of temperature

The average dissociation constants (pK^H) for HL, as well as the stability constants of its complexes with Mn^{2+}, Co^{2+}, Ni^{2+} and Cu^{2+} have been evaluated at 298, 308 and 318 K, (Tables 1 and 2). The enthalpy change (ΔH) for the dissociation and complexation processes were calculated from the slope of the plot pK^H or $\log K$ vs. $^1/_T$ (Figure 1) using the graphical representation of Van't Hoff equation:

$$-2.303 \ RT \log K = \Delta H - T \ \Delta S \ \dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots (1)$$

or

$$\log K = \frac{-\Delta H}{2.303R} \ (\frac{1}{T}) + \frac{\Delta S}{2.303R} \ \dots\dots\dots\dots\dots (2)$$

From the free energy change (ΔG) and (ΔH) values one can deduce the entropy changes (ΔS) using the well known relationships (3) and (4) :

$$\Delta G = -2.303 \ RT \log K \dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots (3)$$

$$\Delta S = \frac{\Delta H - \Delta G}{T} \ \dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots (4)$$

where:

R: gas constant = 8.314 $JK^{-1}mole^{-1}$

K: dissociation constant for the ligand or stability constant of the

complex.

T: absolute temperature.

All thermodynamic parameters of the dissociation process of HL are recorded in Table 1. From these results the following conclusions can be made:

(i) The pK^H values decrease with increasing temperature, i.e., the acidity of the ligands increases[15].

(ii) A positive value of ΔH indicates that the process is endothermic.

(iii) A large positive value of ΔG indicates that the dissociation process is nonspontaneous.

(iv) The dissociation processes for HL have negative values of ΔS as a result of the solvation processes which is accompanied with a decrease in disorder, so confirmation of nonspontaneous reaction.

All the thermodynamic parameters of the stepwise stability constants of complexes are recorded in Table 3. It is known that the divalent metal ions exist in solution as octahedrally hydrated species and the obtained values of ΔH and ΔS can then be considered as the sum of two contributions : (a) release of H_2O molecules, and (b) metal-ligand bond formation. Examination of these values shows that:

(i) The stepwise stability constants (log K_1 and log K_2) for ligand complexes decrease with increasing temperature, i.e., its stability constants increase with decreasing the temperature.

(ii) The negative value of ΔG for the complexation process suggests the spontaneous nature of such process.[16]

(iii) The ΔH values are negative, meaning that these processes are exothermic and favorable at lower temperature.

(iv) The ΔS values for the ligand complexes are positive, confirming that the complex formation is entropically favorable.

REFERENCES

1. S.B. Savvin and R.F. Gur,eva, Talanta, 34, 87 (1987).

2. G.N. Ledesma, M.Gonzales Sierra and G.M. Escander, Polyhedron, 17, 1517 (1998).

3. M.A. El-Komy, Mansoura Eng. J., 26, 1 (2001).

4. A.A. El-Bindary, M.M. Ghoneim, A.Z. El-Sonbati and S.A. Barakat, Monatsch. Chem., 129, 1259 (1998).

5. A. El-Dessouky, A.A. El-Bindary, A.Z. El-Sonbati and A.S. Hilali, Spectrochim. Acta Part A, 57, 1683 (2001).

6. G.H. Jeffery, J. Bassett, J. Mendham and R.C. Deney, "Vogel's Textbook of Quantitative Chemical Analysis", 5th Edn., Longman. London (1989).

7. L.G. Van Uitert and C.G. Hass, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 75, 451 (1953).

8. H. Irving and H.S. Rossotti, J. Chem. Soc., 29 (1954).

9. H. Irving and H.S. Rossotti, J. Chem. Soc., 3397 (1953).

10. F.I.C. Rossotti and H.S. Rossotti, Acta Chem. Scand., 9, 1166 (1955).

11. M.T. Beck and I. Nagybal, "Chemistry of complex equilibrium", Wiley. New York (1990).

12. P. Sanyal and G.P. Sengupta, J. Ind. Chem. Soc.. 67, 342 (1990).

13. V.D. Athawale and V. Lele, J. Chem. Eng. Data, 41, 1015(1996).

14. G.A. Ibañez and G.M. Escandar, Polyhedron, 17, 4433 (1998).

15. A.A. El-Bindary, A.Z. El-Sonbati and H.M. Kera, Can. J. Chem., 77, 1305 (1999).

16. A.F. Shoair, A.A. El-Bindary, A.Z. El-Sonbati and R.M. Younes, Spectrochim. Acta Part A, 57, 1683 (2001).

Fig. 2 :   pK ^{H} of HL and log K $_1$ of metal-HL complexes vs.   1/T.

Fig. 3 :   Log K $_2$ of metal-HL complexes vs.   1/T.

Table 1. Thermodynamic functions for the dissociation of HL in 40% (v/v) ethanol - water mixture and 0.1 M KCl at different temperatures.

Temp. K	Dissociation constant pK^H	Free energy change (kJmol^{-1}) ΔG	Enthalpy change (kJmol^{-1}) ΔH	Entorpy change (Jmol^{-1} K^{-1}) $-\Delta S$
298	7.85	44.79		62.017
303	7.78	45.10		62.0165
308	7.70	45.41	26.309	62.016
313	7.63	45.72		62.0155
318	7.56	46.03		62.015

Table 2. Stepwise stability constants for ML and ML$_2$ complexes of HL in 40% (v/v) ethanol -water mixture and 0.1 M KCl at different temperatures.

M^{n+}	298 K		303 K		308 K		313 K		318 K	
	$\log K_1$	$\log K_2$	$\log K_1$	$\log K_2$	$\log K_1$	$\log K_2$	$\log K_1$	$\log K_2$	$\log K_1$	$\log K_2$
Mn^{2+}	6.20	5.08	6.125	5.01	6.05	4.94	5.98	4.865	5.91	4.79
Co^{2+}	6.98	5.85	6.905	5.78	6.83	5.71	6.76	5.635	6.69	5.56
Ni^{2+}	7.36	6.20	7.29	6.12	7.22	6.04	7.155	5.97	7.09	5.90
Cu^{2+}	8.52	7.15	8.45	7.07	8.38	6.99	8.305	6.915	8.23	6.84

Table 3. Thermodynamic functions for ML and ML$_2$ complexes of HL in 40% (v/v) ethanol-water mixture in the presence of 0.1 M KCl.

M^{n+}	Temp. (K)	Free energy change (kJmol^{-1})		Enthalpy change (kJmol^{-1})		Entorpy change (Jmol^{-1} K^{-1})	
		$-\Delta G_1$	$-\Delta G_2$	ΔH_1	ΔH_2	ΔS_1	ΔS_2
Mn^{2+}	298	35.38	28.99			30.437	8.994
	303	35.53	29.06			30.43	9.076
	308	35.68	29.13	26.31	26.31	30.423	9.157
	313	35.83	29.15			30.416	9.076
	318	35.98	29.17			30.409	8.995
Co^{2+}	298	39.83	33.38			45.37	23.726
	303	40.055	33.525			45.365	23.8115
	308	40.28	33.67	26.31	26.31	45.36	23.812
	313	40.505	33.76			45.355	23.805
	318	40.73	33.85			45.35	23.712
Ni^{2+}	298	41.99	35.38			58.708	27.393
	303	42.285	35.5			58.713	27.338
	308	42.58	35.50	24.495	27.217	58.718	27.282
	313	42.875	35.77			58.722	27.325
	318	43.17	35.92			58.726	27.368
Cu^{2+}	298	48.61	40.80			74.833	42.537
	303	49.015	41.01			74.933	42.528
	308	49.42	41.22	26.31	28.124	75.033	42.519
	313	49.765	41.435			74.939	42.527
	318	50.11	41.65			74.844	42.535